To: Rothery, Deirdre[Rothery.Deirdre@epa.gov]; Dresser, Chris[Dresser.Chris@epa.gov]; Gilbert,

Alexas[Gilbert.Alexas@epa.gov]

From: Smith, Claudia

Sent: Mon 4/18/2016 2:50:46 PM

Subject: RE: U&O FIP: Updated Cost Numbers using CTG

He is not using SharePoint. I just checked and it doesn't look like anyone made any edits since I sent the file to Elliott, so it probably would be a good idea to wait until we get Elliott's version and I can replace the one on the SharePoint. Thanks, Dee.

Thanks,

Claudia

From: Rothery, Deirdre

Sent: Monday, April 18, 2016 8:28 AM

To: Smith, Claudia <Smith.Claudia@epa.gov>; Dresser, Chris <Dresser.Chris@epa.gov>;

Gilbert, Alexas < Gilbert. Alexas @epa.gov>

Subject: RE: U&O FIP: Updated Cost Numbers using CTG

Just a thought, should we wait until we have Elliott's version or is he using Sharepoint?

From: Smith, Claudia

Sent: Monday, April 18, 2016 8:26 AM

To: Dresser, Chris < Dresser, Chris@epa.gov>; Gilbert, Alexas < Gilbert.Alexas@epa.gov>;

Rothery, Deirdre < Rothery. Deirdre @epa.gov >

Subject: RE: U&O FIP: Updated Cost Numbers using CTG

Chris,

Please make the changes to the version on the SharePoint.

Thanks,

Claudia

From: Dresser, Chris

Sent: Monday, April 18, 2016 8:23 AM

 $\textbf{\textbf{To:}} \ Smith, Claudia < \underline{Smith.Claudia@epa.gov} >; \ Gilbert, \ Alexas < \underline{Gilbert.Alexas@epa.gov} >; \\$

Rothery, Deirdre < Rothery. Deirdre@epa.gov >

Subject: RE: U&O FIP: Updated Cost Numbers using CTG

If we're okay with this approach for dealing with the changes, I will go ahead and make the necessary changes to the FR notice and TSD. I can have the updated version complete by COB today.

-Chris

Chris Dresser

U.S. EPA - Region 8

1595 Wynkoop Street

Denver, Colorado 80202-1129

Phone: (303) 312-6385

From: Smith, Claudia

Sent: Monday, April 18, 2016 8:19 AM

To: Gilbert, Alexas < Gilbert. Alexas@epa.gov>; Dresser, Chris < Dresser. Chris@epa.gov>;

Rothery, Deirdre < Rothery. Deirdre @epa.gov >

Subject: RE: U&O FIP: Updated Cost Numbers using CTG

No concerns here. It will probably have to change again before the final, given the final CTG in June.
Thanks,
Claudia
From: Gilbert, Alexas Sent: Thursday, April 14, 2016 5:16 PM To: Smith, Claudia < Smith. Claudia@epa.gov >; Dresser, Chris < Dresser. Chris@epa.gov >; Rothery, Deirdre < Rothery. Deirdre@epa.gov > Subject: U&O FIP: Updated Cost Numbers using CTG
Hi All-
Here is the total cost number using the CTG numbers for quarterly as well as semi annual inspections: \$83,115,662
The previous number (\$84,155,591) was generated by multiplying the cost of LDAR per facility by 2.
They are pretty similar, I think we might use the CTG numbers for VOC reduced for the emission estimates so, unless there's a concern with using this new number – I think \$83,115,662 is the number we should use.
The spreadsheet outlining the calculation for this number is "Draft Cost Analysis Numbers2 4 14 16" on the Ldrive

Thoughts? Concerns?
Γhanks-
Alexas